28

5

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

31. AS AND FOR A SECOND, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE

DEFENSE, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiff is barred from recovery under its complaint by its bad faith.

- 32. AS AND FOR A THIRD, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE
- **DEFENSE**, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiff is partially and/or fully culpable for the alleged damages included in the complaint and the causes of action therein and is thus barred by the doctrine of unclean hands.
- 33. AS AND FOR A FOURTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE **DEFENSE**, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiff omitted to act and/or delayed in asserting any rights for an appreciable period and defendant would be prejudiced if the assertions included in the complaint and the causes of action therein, are now permitted. Plaintiff should thus be barred by the doctrine of laches from asserting the claims set forth in the complaint.
- 34. AS AND FOR AN FIFTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE **DEFENSE**, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiff has omitted to act and/or delayed in asserting any rights for an appreciable period and thus plaintiff is thus barred by the doctrine of waiver from asserting the claims set forth in the complaint and the causes of action therein.
- 35. AS AND FOR A SIXTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE **DEFENSE**, this answering defendant alleges that the complaint and the causes of action therein are barred by the statute of limitations, Code of Civil Procedure Sections 337 among others.
- 36. AS AND FOR A SEVENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE **DEFENSE**, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiffs was well aware of the facts and circumstances leading to their alleged damages and that plaintiff took no action to inform defendant of said facts and consequences. plaintiff is thus barred by the doctrine of estoppel from asserting the claims set forth in the complaint or from asserting any claim for damages or seeking any other relief against these defendant.
- 37. AS AND FOR AN EIGHTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE **DEFENSE**, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiff failed to mitigate, minimize or avoid damages allegedly caused by defendant, and plaintiff is therefore entitled to have any sum to

which plaintiff is entitled reduced by such sums as would have been mitigated, minimized or avoided.

38. AS AND FOR A NINTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE

DEFENSE, this answering defendant alleges that in the event it is held liable to plaintiff, which liability is expressly denied, and any Doe defendant is likewise held liable, this answering defendant is entitled to a percentage contribution of the total liability from such Doe defendant(s) in accordance with the principles of legal and equitable indemnity and comparative contribution.

39. AS AND FOR A TENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE

DEFENSE, this answering defendant alleges that the complaint is stated in vague and conclusory terms and does not set forth sufficient facts to allow defendants to determine all potential affirmative defenses. Defendant accordingly reserves the right to assert any additional defenses.

40. AS AND FOR A ELEVENTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE

DEFENSE, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiff has failed to state a cause of action for violation of the Unruh Civil Rights Act because plaintiff has failed to comply with Civil Code section 51.

41. AS AND FOR A TWELFTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE

DEFENSE, this answering defendant alleges that defendant is not subject to the provisions of the Unruh Civil Rights Act, Civil Code sections 51, et seq., for the conduct alleged in plaintiff's complaint.

42. AS AND FOR A THIRTEENTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE

DEFENSE, this answering defendant alleges that to the extent possible, defendants have had in place at all times a plan for compliance with the American's with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"); that its plan is being continuously implemented; that continual progress towards full compliance with the ADA is being made under the defendant's plan; and that defendant is in substantial compliance with ADA.

43. AS AND FOR A FOURTEENTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE

DEFENSE, this answering defendant alleges that the modifications set forth in plaintiff complaint are not "reasonable modifications" in the policies, practices or procedures to the extent

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

necessary to afford foods, services, facilities privileges, advantages or accommodations to plaintiff because such modification would injure the financial operations and/or effectiveness of defendant's services and facility. (See, 42 U.S.C. §12.182.(b)(2)(A)(ii)(1994).)

44. **AS AND FOR A FIFTEENTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,** this answering defendant alleges that plaintiff lacks standing to assert a claim under the ADA because plaintiff has not suffered a threatened or actual distinct and palpable injury, there is no causal connection between the injury and defendant's challenged conduct, and/or there is no substantial likelihood that the relief sought by plaintiff will prevent or redress the injury.

45. **AS AND FOR A SIXTEENTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,** this answering defendant alleges that it or other third parties (i.e., tenant, landlord, etc.) provide persons with disabilities alternatives to barrier removal by alternative methods such as customer services.

46. **AS AND FOR A SEVENTEENTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,** this answering defendant alleges that defendant was privileged and/or justified for the alleged conduct, if any, because the alleged barriers were isolated and/or temporary interruptions in services or access due to maintenance and/or repairs.

- 47. **AS AND FOR A EIGHTEENTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,** this answering defendant alleges that to the extent the defendant engaged in any of the conduct alleged in the complaint, it did so because the plaintiff poses a direct threat to its health or safety or the health or safety of other individuals.
- 48. **AS AND FOR A NINETEENTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,** this answering defendant alleges that plaintiff fails to set forth facts to constitute a basis for recovery of attorney's fees and costs. As to each cause of action alleged in the complaint, defendant alleges that plaintiff is not entitled to recovery attorney's fees pursuant to any state or federal statute.
- 49. **AS AND FOR A TWENTIETH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,** this answering defendant alleges that the complaint is limited or subject to to an

1	absolute bar as to recoverable damages based on after-acquired evidence the defendant has
2	presently and/or may acquire during the course of this litigation.
3	50. AS AND FOR A TWENTY-FIRST SEPARATE AND
4	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiff lacks standing to
5	assert a claim for denial of accessible sanitary facilities, if any, in violation of California Health
6	& Safety Code sections 19955, et seq. because there is no private right of action thereunder.
7	51. AS AND FOR A TWENTY-SECOND SEPARATE AND
8	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, this answering defendant alleges on information or belief, that
9	the premises owned and/or leased by defendant was designed and/or constructed prior to January
10	26, 1993. (34 Code Fed.Regs. §§36.401(a)(2), 36.152.)
11	52. AS AND FOR A TWENTY-THIRD SEPARATE AND
12	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, this answering defendant alleges that the premises owned and/or
13	leased by defendant was not altered or modified after January 26, 1993. (14 U.S.C. § 12183(a);
14	34 Code Fed. Regs. §36.402.)
15	53. AS AND FOR A TWENTY-FOURTH SEPARATE AND
16	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, this answering defendant alleges that the premises owned and/or
17	leased by defendant was not altered or modified after July 1, 1997 (Cal. Health & Safety Code
18	§19959.)
19	54. AS AND FOR A TWENTY-FIFTH SEPARATE AND
20	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, this answering defendant alleges that under the terms of its
21	contractual agreements, responsibility for compliance with all federal, state and local laws
22	regarding access and use of the premises by persons with disabilities has been allocated to third
23	person(s) (i.e. tenants, franchisee, etc.) who are solely responsible for any affirmative obligations
24	to make the premises accessible to persons with disabilities.
25	55. AS AND FOR A TWENTY-SIXTH SEPARATE AND
26	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, this answering defendant alleges that defendant's activities with
27	respect to plaintiff, if any, were privileged or otherwise justified, as such activities were proper,
28	fair and legitimate business activities and/or related reasons and were neither arbitrary

1	capricious, nor unlawful, but were undertaken to protect plaintiff's economic interest and/or
2	relations.
3	56. AS AND FOR A TWENTY-SEVENTH SEPARATE AND
4	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, this answering defendant alleges that there has been no violation
5	of the Unruh Civil Rights Act because there is no law requiring construction, alternation, repair
6	or modification by another provision of law. (Cal. Civ. Code §51(d).)
7	57. AS AND FOR A TWENTY-EIGHTH SEPARATE AND
8	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, this answering defendant alleges that removal of any alleged
9	structural barriers to access by persons with disabilities is not readily achievable or technically
10	feasible.
11	58. AS AND FOR A TWENTY-NINTH SEPARATE AND
12	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE , this answering defendant alleges that the provisions of the "Fair
13	Responsibility Act of 1986" (commonly known as Proposition 51, Civil Code §§ 1430 - 1432)
14	are applicable to this action to the extent that plaintiff's injuries and damages, if any, were
15	proximately caused and were contributed to by the carelessness, negligence or fault of persons or
16	entities other than this answering defendant.
17	59. AS AND FOR A THIRTIETH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE
18	DEFENSE , this answering defendant alleges that prior to commencement of this action,
19	defendant duly performed, satisfied and discharged all duties and obligations it may have owed to
20	the plaintiff arising out of any agreement, representations or contracts made by them or on behalf
21	of them and this action is therefore barred by the provisions of California Civil Code section
22	1473.
23	60. AS AND FOR A THIRTY-FIRST SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE
24	DEFENSE , this answering defendant alleges that they have no ownership interest or control of
25	the subject property.
26	61. AS AND FOR A THIRTY-SECOND SEPARATE AND
27	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, this answering defendant alleges that the subject property is
28	exempted from any and all requirements, guidelines, rules and regulations as alleged in plaintiff's

Document 10

Filed 05/30/2008

Page 10 of 10

Case 5:08-cv-01547-RMW